1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TACOMA	
10	SOLOMONA RICKY PATU,	
11	Petitioner,	CASE NO. 3:15-CV-05411-RJB-DWC
12	v.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	NOTING DATE: AUGUST 28, 2015
14	Respondent.	
15	The District Count has reformed this 20 H.C.	C & 2254 Detition for a writ of helpon company
16		
17		
18	Petitioner's Motion to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> . Dkt. 3.	
19	The right to proceed in forma pauperis is not absolute. O'Loughlin v. Doe, 920 F.2d 614,	
20	616 (9th Cir. 1990). Proceeding <i>in forma pauperis</i> is a matter within the sound discretion of the	
21	trial court in civil actions. Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th Cir. 1963). Here, Petitioner	
22	provides his prison trust account summary showing an average spendable balance of forty-three	
23	dollars and twenty cents (\$43.20). Dkt. 6. Petitioner can afford to pay the five dollar (\$5.00)	
24		

filing fee. Accordingly, the Court recommends denial of the Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the parties shall have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on August 28, 2015, as noted in the caption. Dated this 3rd day of August, 2015. United States Magistrate Judge